	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 16-11700-smb
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	GAWKER MEDIA, LLC,
8	Debtor.
9	x
10	Case No. 16-12239-smb
11	x
12	In the Matter of:
13	
14	NICHOLAS G. A. DENTON,
15	Debtor.
16	x
17	Adv. Case No. 16-01248-smb
18	x
19	BOLLEA,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	DENTON,
23	Defendants.
24	x
25	

```
Page 2
1
                     U.S. Bankruptcy Court
2
                     One Bowling Green
                     New York, NY 10004
3
4
5
                     December 15, 2016
6
                     10:22 AM
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
    BEFORE:
22
    HON STEWART M. BERNSTEIN
    U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
23
24
25
    ECRO: TB
```

Page 3 1 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb Status Conference Re: Huon Claims 2 Objection 3 4 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Interim Application of Prime 5 Clerk LLC as Administrative Advisor to the Debtors for the 6 Period from 6/10/16 through 9/30/16. 7 8 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Application for Interim 9 Professional Compensation - First Interim Application of 10 Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP for Approval and Allowance 11 of Compensation for Services Rendered and Reimbursement of 12 Expenses Incurred for Official Committee of Unsecured 13 Creditors of Gawker Media LLC, et al., Other Professional, period: 6/24/2016 to 9/30/2016, fee:\$1,216,165.75, expenses: 14 15 \$23,586.99. 16 17 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Interim Application of 18 Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP as Special Litigation Counsel to 19 the Debtors for the Period from 6/10/16 through 9/30/16. 20 21 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Interim Application of Ropes 22 & Gray LLP as Attorneys for the Debtors for the Period from 23 6/10/16 through 9/30/16. 24 25 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First and Final Fee Application of

Page 4 Houlihan Lokey Capital, Inc. as Investment Banker to the Debtors for the period from June 10, 2016 Through September 30, 2016. Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Application of Brannock & Humphries as Special Litigation Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession for the Period from June 10, 2016, Through September 30,2016 for Brannock & Humphries, Special Counsel Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Interim Fee Application of Citrin Cooperman & Company, LLP as Independent Auditor and Accounting Services Provider for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession for the Period from June 10, 2016 Through September 30, 2016 Hearing re: 16-11700-smb First Application for Interim Professional Compensation First Application of Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP as Special Litigation Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession for Allowance of Compensation and for the Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period from June 10, 2016 Through September 30,2016 for Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz, LLP, Special Counsel, period: 6/10/2016 to 9/30/2016, fee: \$299,783.77, expenses: \$9,144.63.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Page 5
 1
      Hearing re: 16-12239-smb Status Conference Re: Huon Claims
 2
      Objection
 3
 4
      Hearing re: 16-12239-smb Case Conference
 5
 6
      Hearing re: 16-01248-smb Pre-trial Conference
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
      Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde
```

con
on
on
on
on

	Page 7
1	COHEN & GRESSER LLP
2	Attorney for Terry Bollea
3	800 Third Avenue
4	New York, NY 10022
5	
6	BY: DANIEL H. TABAK
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
9	
10	MEANITH HUON
11	TAYLOR B. HARRISON
12	ALEX MCGEE
13	SANDY QUSBA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 8 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Gawker. 3 MR. GALARDI: Good morning, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 MR. GALARDI: Gregg Galardi on behalf of the 6 Gawker debtors. For convenience, I think we should go 7 through the agenda in the order of the amended agenda. 8 THE COURT: Did you file an agenda? I don't think 9 I have it. 10 MR. GALARDI: Yeah, we did back on -- I will hand 11 up. If I might approach. 12 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. 13 MR. GALARDI: So, Your Honor, the first matter on 14 the agenda is a status conference. I don't know Mr. Meanith 15 Huan is on the phone. 16 THE COURT: Yeah, the Denton estate is also on 17 (indiscernible). 18 MR. HUON: I'm on the phone, Judge. THE COURT: So I'll deal with the Dent -- I'll 19 20 deal with both of these at the same time. 21 MR. GALARDI: That's what I was going to ask, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Huon, this is a status 24 conference on your claims in both the Denton estate and the 25 Gawker estate. But my understanding is they've been

Page 9 1 resolved. 2 MR. GALARDI: Yes, Your Honor. As I mentioned --3 MR. HUON: Yes, Judge. MR. GALARDI: As I mentioned at the confirmation 4 5 hearing and I've spoken to Mr. Huon again yesterday. As put 6 on the record at the confirmation hearing, we have agreed to 7 settle the Gawker Media estate, which will also settle the claims against Mr. Denton, for the payment of \$100,000. We 8 9 will make that payment with respect to that claim before 10 year end. 11 And I believe there is a status conference in the 12 Illinois court, and we've agreed that we will advise the 13 Court that there is a pending settlement and will take care 14 of the remaining matters once the settlement payments have 15 been made. 16 THE COURT: When will I get the confirmation 17 order? 18 MR. GALARDI: It was filed last night. THE COURT: All right, okay. Any questions, Mr. 19 20 Huon? MR. HUON: No, Judge. Thank you for letting me 21 22 appear by phone. I'm sorry I didn't file an appearance 23 earlier. You know, it's (indiscernible) motion. But, yes, 24 we settled for \$99,999.99, so thank you, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Sounds close enough, okay.

Page 10 1 MR. HUON: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 3 MR. HUON: Thank you, Judge. May I be excused, Judge? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. HUON: Thank you. All right, have a nice day. 7 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, the next matter on the 8 agenda are a series of fee applications, one of which is 9 final, which is the Houlihan Lokey, and the others are 10 interim fee applications for the Debtors and the committees' 11 professionals. 12 Your Honor, pursuant to Your Honor's earlier 13 orders, the fee applications have been submitted and there 14 was a 20 percent holdback. After conversations with the 15 United States Trustee, subject to Your Honor's approval, the 16 U.S. Trustee has agreed that there only needs to be a 5 17 percent holdback with respect to what's already been 18 approved. The professionals, including my firm, have also 19 20 have, I think, November and will have December outstanding. 21 Given this -- given what has occurred in the case, we would 22 ask Your Honor to approve those. I can give Your Honor 23 numbers with respect to each of the professionals. 24 THE COURT: I have some problems with a couple of 25 these.

Page 11 1 MR. GALARDI: Okay. 2 THE COURT: I was looking at the Cahill 3 application. 4 MR. GALARDI: Okay. THE COURT: First of all, there's no project 5 6 billing; they just include monthly fee statements. But it 7 looks like the entire application is related to retention 8 issues and editing time records. 9 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, Ms. Buckley is here. 10 With respect to that, and that was one of our concerns in 11 the beginning of the case because this relates to a settlement Your Honor will hear on the end of this month 12 13 with Malmedia. Most of that, that was because they had to 14 file the fee application and do the time detail they have 15 been negotiating and had previously negotiated the Malmedia. 16 So in some of these instances, with the small professionals 17 that are on a unique matter, the fee application process is 18 actually more expensive than the work being performed by it. 19 THE COURT: Well --MR. GALARDI: I estimate --20 21 THE COURT: You know, there's got to be some 22 proportion though. I think, looking at the total application, you know, I looked through the term records. 23 And because there's no -- it's done by month to month and 24 25 there's no project bullet, and the only projects are the

Page 12 1 litigation itself and the settlement, the retention work, 2 and the preparation of the monthly bills. It's all they 3 did. It's very hard to determine the proportion of work that went to editing time records, which is not compensable, 4 5 or preparing monthly bills. They don't have to seek monthly 6 bills; that's for their convenience. But it's not intended 7 to increase the amount of legal fees in the case. 8 MR. GALARDI: Understood, and we've had 9 conversation with Cahill. And, Your Honor, I mean, I think 10 the -- and I hate to go back to the first day and I know 11 they honor -- but this is one of the reasons that we're 12 seeking to have ordinary course. I know that's improper, 13 but for exactly the reason --14 THE COURT: Well, this is a good reason not to 15 have ordinary course. 16 MR. GALARDI: Well, actually I think it's --17 THE COURT: You need someone to review them. All 18 right, look, I will adjourn this one. But I do need -- it's 19 not a long timeframe, but I do need just some project 20 billing on those three projects. I want to see the amount 21 of time that was spent looking at time records, reviewing 22 bills, on retention matters, and how much was really spent 23 on the underlying litigations. 24 MR. GALARDI: Okay, Your Honor.

I'm confident Cahill knows how to do a

THE COURT:

Page 13

-- you know, a retention application.

MR. GALARDI: Sure.

3 THE COURT: Yes?

MS. BUCKLEY: Your Honor, Susan Buckley here from Cahill. I can address some of these issues.

able to read the application and understand this. It's going well and you're sending monthly bills and everything is monthly to the bills. You didn't do a lot I know, but it would be helpful to know, for example, how much time was actually spent preparing the retention application. I noticed in the bills, and it's more so in a couple of the other ones, the amount of time that was spent reviewing the time records or preparing monthly bills and things like that, so that you get paid on a monthly basis. That's fine if you want to be paid on a monthly basis, but that's for -- as I said, that's for the professionals. That's not designed to increase the amount of legal fees; it's not required by the bankruptcy code, as opposed to a fee application at the end of the case.

And these are issues I have with this one and there's other one that I have the same issue with. So what I'm going to ask you to do is just divide it into project categories. I'm sure Mr. Levitan knows what I'm talking about. And it'll be simple enough to present it that way so

Page 14 1 I'll have an idea of how much time was spent. 2 MS. BUCKLEY: If I may, Your Honor, the problem with these -- this particular submission is the case was 3 4 largely negotiated and settled days before Gawker filed 5 bankruptcy. The settlement --6 THE COURT: Well, how much time did you spend on 7 the litigation? 8 MS. BUCKLEY: The litigation started about a year 9 before the Gawker bankruptcy. 10 THE COURT: And how much do you think your firm 11 billed on that? I'm just trying to get a sense of the 12 proportion of the amount of time preparing fee applications 13 and the amount of time doing the actual legal work to which 14 the applications pertain. 15 MS. BUCKLEY: Well, the fee application was a 16 minor portion of the entire litigation. 17 THE COURT: No, I understand that, because you got 18 to -- because it only related to the tail end of the 19 litigation. 20 MS. BUCKLEY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: But what I'm suggesting is if you did 22 a lot of work and this was just, you know, the end of the day that you billed \$50 million on the litigation, then 23 maybe \$20,000 for a fee application to just finish it off 24 25 isn't unreasonable. On the other hand, if I see you did

Page 15 1 \$5,000 worth of work, but you're seeking compensation for --2 I don't know anything else about the case --MS. BUCKLEY: Well, we can certainly --3 THE COURT: Let me finish. And you're seeking 4 5 \$20,000 for preparing a fee application, that strikes me as 6 a little unreasonable. 7 MS. BUCKLEY: No, the case was -- I doubt it went 8 that it went into the millions, but it was certainly --9 THE COURT: Why don't we do this. I'll allow 50 10 percent of it, subject to review at the end of the case. 11 But please give me time records that I can review at the end 12 of the case to make that determination. And you can amplify 13 your final fee application by just explaining all the work 14 that was involved, and that this was just the tail end of a 15 long and expensive litigation. 16 MS. BUCKLEY: We can certainly do that, Your 17 Honor. THE COURT: All right. So I'll allow 50 percent 18 on an interim basis on that one, 100 percent of the expenses 19 20 on an interim basis, all subject for review at the end of 21 the case. 22 With respect to the Florida counsel that were retained. First of all, I want to make sure that there was 23 24 that allocation that was required under the order. 25 MR. GALARDI: Yes, Your Honor, they did. In fact,

Page 16

this is only the allocation with respect to the estate. I have fee applications with respect to the balance of the parties. They all apologize, but, again, given the expensive travel and we didn't get them on CourtCall early enough, they're not attending today.

THE COURT: That's fine. I have a similar comment with the Brannock & Humphries fee application. If I look at the work that was done -- so that's the invoice through September 30th, let me just check. Yeah, it's almost all reviewing time records and retention orders, and there is no project going. So I'll do the same thing I did with the other, with the Cahill order. I'll allow 50 percent on an interim basis, 100 percent of the expenses on an interim basis, but they have to break it down by project. And I know there was only one project, but here was other work that was done.

And, again, there's a lot of time spent on retention issues, monthly submissions and editing bills, you know, just -- other than reviewing the bills to make sure that no privileged information is being disclosed. And I don't understand why lawyers can't prepare time records that don't disclose confidential or secret information. But short of that, it's not compensable, in my view.

With respect to the rest of the applications, I'll abide by the U.S. Trustee's recommendation. I'll allow 95

Page 17 1 percent on an interim basis -- we'll get to the final one in 2 a minute -- and 100 percent of the -- oh, I'm sorry. 3 MR. GALARDI: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: And 100 percent of the expenses on an 4 5 interim basis, subject to review at the end of the case. 6 Now, Houlihan was? 7 MR. GALARDI: Houlihan was a fee app -- a final 8 fee application, Your Honor, with respect to their 9 engagement. The U.S. Trustee has asked about the 10 calculation of the fees; so did the committee. The fee had 11 been calculated in accordance with Your Honor's order that 12 approved the Houlihan fee application. THE COURT: Was this based on the sale? 13 14 MR. GALARDI: This was based on the sale and on 15 the DIP fee. There was two fees involved in that one, Your 16 Honor. There was about a million on the DIP fee, and then 17 there was another, about four or four and a half -- I think 18 it's a \$4 million fee with respect to the sale and the access price. And as Your Honor, from the confirmation 19 20 order, you understand what that excess price enabled us to 21 do in this case. 22 THE COURT: Well, is there still an issue about 23 the computation of the fee? 24 MR. GALARDI: No, there isn't. It is what it is. 25 THE COURT: Is the committee --

Page 18 1 MR. ZIPES: No issue, the committee 2 (indiscernible). THE COURT: All right, then I'll allow the fee on 3 a final basis and the expenses on a final basis. 4 5 MR. GALARDI: And there was a compromise, just for 6 the record, Your Honor, on the fees after the U.S. Trustee -7 - on the expenses, I'm sorry -- after the U.S. Trustee 8 inquired with respect to that. 9 THE COURT: What did they get down to? 10 MR. GALARDI: Do you remember about the 11 calculations? I don't have the number. 12 MR. ZIPES: There was some travel time and some 13 meals, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So they're getting \$5 million, and you 15 got them taking a private car instead of the subway? 16 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, it's -- yes. 17 THE COURT: Good job. All right, you can submit 18 an order. MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. I turn it 19 20 over to --21 MR. ZIPES: The United States, one issue. 22 THE COURT: Oh, sure. MR. ZIPES: Just with the -- with two of these fee 23 24 applications, I think the Court made a ruling with respect 25 to 50 percent. And it's not usually for me to defend fees,

Page 19 1 but I think they've already been paid 80 percent, so I just 2 THE COURT: Well, they don't have to pay anything 3 back, but let's just leave it the way it is. 4 5 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Is there any more work in Florida for 7 these lawyers? 8 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I don't believe there's 9 going to, other than the mechanics of getting the matters 10 dismissed and of that sort of thing. 11 THE COURT: Oh, another thing I noticed in a 12 couple of these Florida applications are, I never granted 13 relief from the stay, right? 14 MR. GALARDI: Correct. 15 THE COURT: Yet, there seems to be a lot of work 16 done. And I understand the work initially in June when the 17 case was filed, but in July/August/September, relating to 18 stays, and I don't know the estates are being billed for 19 that. 20 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I believe that -- and 21 I'll go back and look at it -- but all of that work was 22 probably, especially in the Levine application, related to the preliminary injunction stay work. And then there were -23 24 - there were still proceedings going on that Mr. -- well, it 25 shouldn't be in on their compensation. But the estate was

Page 20 1 dealing with potential motions to lift stay issues, so I 2 think that's going to be mostly consulting. I will have them clarify that on the next fee application. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 5 MR. GALARDI: So that we look back at that time. 6 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 7 MR. GALARDI: Thank you. MAN 1: Your Honor, thank you. I assumed that 8 9 since facts or your fee application is covered by your prior 10 ruling. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MAN 1: Thank you. We had been speaking with U.S. 13 Trustee on efficiency for final fee applications. Two of 14 our professionals are law firms, foreign law firms -- one is 15 in the Cayman Islands, one is in Hungary -- and they were 16 retained earlier in these cases to handle lien 17 investigations. Relatively di mimimis work, they've submitted fee statements. Between the two firms, it comes 18 19 out to just under \$35,000. 20 We've spoken with the U.S. Trustee because we're 21 sensitive to the cost of a final fee application. And I 22 believe the U.S. Trustee was comfortable with a very short form submission for their final fee app, so we avoid running 23 up incremental costs. But we wanted to obviously speak with 24

Your Honor before we either incur those costs or take that

Page 21 1 approach. 2 THE COURT: So you want an advisory opinion? MAN 1: I think we're looking for guidance, Your 3 4 Honor. We don't want to waste money and the state resources 5 if we don't have to. 6 THE COURT: Why don't you try out the U.S. 7 Trustee's language and see if we have an issue. 8 MAN 1: Very good, Your Honor, appreciate that. 9 MR. ZIPES: And, Your Honor, I think in light of 10 what you just stated about project billing, we should 11 explore that issue as well with these time records. THE COURT: Yeah. Well, if it's only \$35,000, 12 13 that's one thing. 14 MR. ZIPES: Between two firms, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Particularly when you have firms that 16 don't do a lot of bankruptcy work, but just send monthly 17 bills, and it's very hard to figure out what they've done. 18 All right. 19 MR. ZIPES: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, the last two matters on 21 the agenda were adjourned matters. One was that Mr. 22 Johnson, today was going to be a status conference on his 23 claim. I think Your Honor has already granted relief to 24 adjourn that matters, and the Got News matter over to 25 January 26th.

Page 22

Your Honor, there are two other matters that are on for -- we have a hearing on January -- on December 29th. One was the 2004 examination of Mr. Thiel, and then the other was A.J. Daulerio, who was a defendant in one of the Florida actions, has a claims objection. Your Honor, we could make a request to the Court by way of email, but I thought I would request that those matters also be adjourned over to the January 26th date so we can file a notice of adjournment. We're in conversations with Mr. Thiel's counsel about whether we resolve that, and Mr. Daulerio's claim should be resolved consensually by that time with respect to the fees. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the other parties to the adjournment? So Mr. Thiel --MR. GALARDI: No, we've spoke with both counsel --Skadden, Arps -- on Mr. Thiel and Mr. Daulerio's counsel. THE COURT: That's fine. Just inform my chambers-MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- so that it gets puts on the calendar. MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, that concludes our matters. THE COURT: Right. In our haste, I missed the Denton matters. We're going to get that. Now that you have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 23 1 a better idea of where Mr. Denton stands, when will you be 2 able to file a plan? 3 MR. TABAK: We expect to file a plan probably in 4 January. We could file it potentially between now and then, 5 but he's not going to get his distributions until then. 6 so, and this is also not advisory opinion request, it's 7 quidance. 8 THE COURT: I'm not sure what the difference is. 9 MR. TABAK: There is one remaining, but contingent 10 claim, but it's with the Gawker estate. They're the only party that still has a proof of claims by extension deadline 11 12 out there we expect will --13 THE COURT: Which Gawker is that? MR. TABAK: It's the Gawker --14 15 THE COURT: Media? 16 MR. TABAK: Mr. Galardi's estate. 17 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, with respect to the --18 under our plan -- and we've done an investigation with Mr. 19 Denton -- we will not be pursuing any claims other than the 20 repayment of the \$200,000 loan with interest. So we will 21 have to resolve that. That is the only real remaining 22 matter left from us. THE COURT: What is there to resolve? 23 24 MR. TABAK: The only thing there to resolve is 25 that Mr. Denton has a stub indemnification claim that

	Fy 24 01 28
	Page 24
1	survived the release of indemnifications under the Gawker
2	plan.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, but this is a loan
4	(indiscernible) indemnified by his bar (indiscernible)
5	lender?
6	MR. TABAK: Sorry?
7	THE COURT: He gets indemnified by his lender?
8	MR. TABAK: No, no. He also has claims over to
9	the Gawker estate for some of the fees that were incurred
10	pre his filing by Florida counsel, and so we're going to try
11	to work all that at the same time.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. TABAK: So back to guidance. You know,
14	assuming we're able to file a 100-cent plus interest case
15	that doesn't require any validating.
16	THE COURT: A very simple disclosure statement.
17	MR. TABAK: A very simple summary disclosure
18	statement.
19	THE COURT: Then you'll get to tell everybody how
20	you're going to pay the claim this way.
21	MR. TABAK: Yeah, and potentially combined with
22	the confirmation hearing.
23	THE COURT: Although I have to give preliminary
24	approval to the disclosure statement.
25	MR. TABAK: Yeah.

Page 25 1 THE COURT: I guess I could have it at the same 2 time if there's no validating. 3 MR. TABAK: If there's no validating. That was my 4 point exactly. But, actually, I'm going to confer with Mr. 5 Zipes to make sure he's comfortable with the procedure that 6 we're going to propose; then we'll roll it out to Your 7 Honor. THE COURT: At least get the money in your 8 9 account. I don't know what the problem is. 10 MR. TABAK: Sorry? 11 THE COURT: As long as the money is in your 12 account. 13 MR. TABAK: The money will be the account by the 14 time we come before you. 15 THE COURT: Now, can I mark Bollea v. Denton off? 16 MR. TABAK: Okay, so Bollea v. Denton, which we 17 also had a status conference in, is the 523 action. We have 18 our own separate settlement agreement with Bollea. As you recall from Mr. Galardi on Tuesday, the \$10 million punitive 19 20 damage award was not resolved through the Gawker settlement; 21 that remained. 22 We had to negotiate our own separate agreement 23 with Mr. Bollea that dealt with, you know, how the content, 24 if any, came into Mr. Denton's possession, so forth and so 25 on, was to be treated.

Page 26

And also resolving for no incremental 1 2 consideration, cash consideration, the \$10 million punitive 3 award. We have a signed agreement that we're either going to present to Your Honor under 9019 for approval of -- or 4 5 more likely bake into our plan. 6 And so, we do have this adversary proceeding 7 outstanding. We've already extended the time for us to answer; we obviously don't want to have to answer. And so, 8 I think what we'll do, unless Your Honor has an objection, 9 10 is just stipulate an answer date that goes far beyond, 11 hopefully, what the time we can (indiscernible). 12 THE COURT: That's fine. When is the next Gawker 13 omnibus date? 14 MR. GALARDI: We have December 29th and January 65th. 15 16 THE COURT: All right, I'll adjourn the Denton 17 matters to January 26th. 18 MR. TABAK: Okay. THE COURT: Hopefully by then you'll have your 19 20 distribution and you'll apply the plan. 21 MR. TABAK: That's correct. The other contingent 22 litigation claims against Mr. Denton. I (indiscernible) 23 obviously settled this part of the Gawker plan, although we do have our own separate agreement just memorializing that 24 25 Mr. Denton is also released. Awaiting signature pages on

Page 27 1 that, but that should be done. Mr. Huon, I quess, 2 technically, there was also the return date of our claim objection from Mr. Huon. I know he's no longer on the 3 phone, but Mr. Galardi's settlement also settles any 4 liability for Mr. Denton. And so those were our contingent 5 6 claims. The rest are going to be the as-scheduled or proof 7 of claim that we're not going to oppose claims in his 8 estate, so we should be able to deal with those through a 9 plan that we file. 10 THE COURT: Denton is not involved in the Johnson? 11 MR. TABAK: He is not involved in the Johnson 12 case. 13 MR. GALARDI: And, Your Honor, as part of the 14 Johnson settlement, they did agree with respect to any 15 potential defendants, they're not pursuing those defendants. 16 That's solely with respect to Gawker Media only. 17 THE COURT: Okay, all right. All right, then, 18 thank you very much. 19 MR. TABAK: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 22 10:43 AM) 23 24 25

Page 28 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o=Veritext, ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US
Date: 2016.12.19 15:12:49 -05'00' 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 December 29, 2016 Date: